

Works toward closed loop degaussing system on board new MCM vessels



P. Polański, F. Szarkowski and M. Czarnowska R&D Marine Technology Centre S.A., Gdynia, Poland





Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Test stand, PSM
- 3. Models
- 4. Simulations and measurements
- 5. Conclusions

🕩 #UDT2019

Undersea Defence Technology

Stockholmsmässan, Sweden

13-15 May 2019





Four main sources of ship's magnetic field signature within dc to several hundred Hertz band:

- Permanent and induced magnetization of hull and on board equipment
- Eddy currents induced in conducting hull and equipment
- Corrosion related and cathodic protection processes
- Electric equipment and ship's power distribution system

🕩 #UDT2019



Passive reduction of magnetic field













Works toward closed loop degaussing system on board new MCM vessels P. Polański, F. Szarkowski and M. Czarnowska - R&D Marine Technology Centre S.A., Gdynia, Poland



UDT

13-15 May 2019

Undersea Defence Technology

Stockholmsmässan, Sweden



Active reduction of magnetic field



Works toward closed loop degaussing system on board new MCM vessels P. Polański, F. Szarkowski and M. Czarnowska - R&D Marine Technology Centre S.A., Gdynia, Poland



UD

Undersea Defence Technology

Stockholmsmässan, Sweden

13-15 May 2019





Active reduction on board non-ferromagnetic hull vessels with number of magnetic field sources









Open vs Closed Loop Degaussing System (OLDG vs CLDG)





Works toward closed loop degaussing system on board new MCM vessels

P. Polański, F. Szarkowski and M. Czarnowska - R&D Marine Technology Centre S.A., Gdynia, Poland



Benefits of CLDG:

- Detection of changes in slowly varying permanent magnetization
- Possibility to self range away from fixed ranges
- Update of DG currents while at sea
- Cost reduction

Works toward closed loop degaussing system on board new MCM vessels P. Polański, F. Szarkowski and M. Czarnowska - R&D Marine Technology Centre S.A., Gdynia, Poland



Undersea Defence Technology 13-15 May 2019

Stockholmsmässan, Sweden



Test Stand and PSM



ctm

Sensors on board



Works toward closed loop degaussing system on board new MCM vessels

🎷 #UDT2019

P. Polański, F. Szarkowski and M. Czarnowska - R&D Marine Technology Centre S.A., Gdynia, Poland



Stockholmsmässan, Sweden

#UDT2019

Ĭ

Test Stand and PSM

PSM Test Stand Block Diagram











Division of field's components due to permanent and induced magnetizations

$$B_j = B_{jp} + B_{ji}$$

• Further division of induced source with respect to external field

$$B_j = B_{jpx} + B_{jpy} + B_{jpz} + B_{jix} + B_{jiy} + B_{jiz}$$

 Coefficients estimation basing on field measured on cardinal courses

$$B_{jn} = B_{jpn} + X_{jxn} * B_x + X_{jyn} * B_y + X_{jzn} * B_z$$





13-15 May 2019 Stockholmsmässan, Sweden

Undersea Defence Technology











Magnetizations' fields change measured by sensors on board



Longitudinal, athwartship and vertical magnetic field components change with course measured by sensors on board







Using PSM for calculations





Fine reconstruction of the signature



Undersea Defence Technology

Stockholmsmässan, Sweden

13-15 May 2019





Using PSM for calculations

- Permanent and induced magnetizations simulated using PSM's DG coils
- Example using M and L coils
- Induced magnetization is varied from -0,6*P to +0,6*P with 0,1*P steps giving total 12 sets of equations (plus one for only permanent longitudinal magnetization)







Using PSM for calculations – example source field



Raw data measured by sensors under and on board PSM

(green – measured red – calculated white - difference)









Using PSM for calculations

- Creating overestimated set of equations
- Solving for parameters relating range and on board sensors to external field components
- Extracting permanent component
- Forward/inverse calculation







Using PSM for calculations

Reconstruction of raw field using calculated parameters





Comparison of measured and recalculated raw signature

Relative error of recalculation







#UDT2019

Simulations and measurements

Using PSM for calculations



Comparison of measured (left) and recalculated (right) signature





Recalculation error on surface under PSM (left) and under the keel (right) (green – measured, red – calculated, white - difference)

Works toward closed loop degaussing system on board new MCM vessels

P. Polański, F. Szarkowski and M. Czarnowska - R&D Marine Technology Centre S.A., Gdynia, Poland





Current and future work

- Measurement campaign is underway and will be continued throughout late spring and summer
- Forward/inverse models (coils, dipoles, ellipsoids and mix)
- Works are continued using synthetic data, PSM's and ship's measurements
- Ferromagnetic hull PSM model will be available soon







Conclusions

- It is possible to reconstruct field from each magnetization component using on board combined with range data
- Forward/index model complexity is strictly related to available number of sensors and thence possible number of equations
- Non-ferromagnetic hull vessels are quite easily modelled
- Sensors of DG/CLDG can be used during selected time to provide high quality data (i.e. not always and not in real time)





Conclusions

- Software tests and simulations were performed and calculations using real data from PSM's sensor system were done with first solutions proving the approach.
- Data gathered on board the ship was processed and shows promising results.
- Works are part of extensive project around underwater signatures management (regarding DG, eddy currents, electric, thermal and hydroacoustic signatures)

