**ROYAL MILITARY ACADEMY - 3D PERCEPTION LAB** Charles HAMESSE, Research Engineer



# 3D Perception Systems for the Modern Battlefield



# Agenda





Introduction

**3D Localization and Mapping Principles** 

**Overview of 3D SLAM Systems** 

Conclusion





### **The 3D Perception Lab**

#### We're a research unit from the Belgian Royal Military Academy (RMA):

- "University of Defence" in Belgium: responsible for the academic and military training of officers
- Scientific and technology research for military applications (often dual-use)
- Areas of **expertise** in multiple engineering domains
- Frequent **collaboration** with other universities and industry







### **The 3D Perception Lab**

#### We work on the following topics:



2

3

**Sensor fusion**: critical for 3D perception, we research and develop novel sensor fusion strategies for portable systems (e.g., camera, LiDAR, IMU) and applications (e.g., mapping)



Multi-agent robotic systems: we research clever strategies to fuse the outputs of multiple (heterogeneous) sensor systems









### **3D Perception Systems for the Modern Battlefield**

- Focus on the fundamental components of 3D perception: localization and mapping
  - First layer of situational awareness: where am I? what is around me?
    - **Downstream applications:** mission planning, line of sight calculation, blast damage calculation, change detection, etc.
    - **Performance metrics:** accuracy, SWaP-C efficiency, realtime capability, 3D map representation, etc.
    - No one-size-fits-all system







### **3D Perception Systems for the Modern Battlefield**

Modern battlefield scenarios create new constraints:

- Multi-level operations: super-surface, surface, and sub-surface environments
- Urban combat: complex urban terrains, repetitive structures, indoors and outdoors
- GNSS challenges: navigation and positioning systems need to be resilient to jamming and spoofing
- No prior information: we cannot rely on existing satellite pictures, 3D scans, etc
  - Enemy detection: need for stealth techniques to evade enemy sensors





### **3D Perception Systems for the Modern Battlefield**

Therefore, self-sufficient perception systems are required:

- Need for Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) systems
  - SLAM exists in **many variants**, although the most commonly used sensors are LiDAR, camera, and IMU
  - Depending on the sensors and the scenario, SLAM can be considered solved or still in an early stage of development (active field of research)







#### **Common SLAM approaches rely on sensor fusion:**

- Inertial Measurement Unit: provides high-frequency accelerometer and gyroscope data.
- Camera: used for visual tracking (low accuracy, high robustness, passive).
- LiDAR: used for scan-to-map alignment (high accuracy, low robustness, active).



#### Some existing commercial SLAM systems:









& Horizon



Of course, SLAM systems are often found on robots:



UGV



Photogrammetry drone

LiDAR drone





### **Overview of 3D SLAM Systems**

The previous SLAM systems offer very good performance, but can difficulty be used in battlefield conditions.

In the following slides, we present our own developments towards military SLAM systems.





### **Portable LiDAR-Inertial System**

#### **Concept:**

- Baseline portable SLAM system using a Livox Avia (drone LiDAR)
- Hand-held setup, computer and battery in backpack

#### Advantages:

- Lightweight (sensors < 500g, computer + battery: 2kg)</li>
- Dense map

#### Drawbacks:

- Active system: LiDAR's IR pulses can be picked hundreds of

meters away









# **Portable LiDAR-Inertial System**









### Hands-Free Dual LiDAR-Inertial System

#### **Concept:**

- Combining two 360° HFoV LiDARs to increase VFoV
- Shoulder mount
- Running in real-time, on micro-computer with battery pack

#### Advantages:

- Lightweight (sensors < 500g, computer + battery: 2kg), hands-free
- Robust SLAM

#### Drawbacks:

- Active system: LiDAR's IR pulses can be picked hundreds of meters away



C. Hamesse, T. Fréville, J. Saarinen, M. Vlaminck, H. Luong and R. Haelterman, "Development of Ultra-Portable 3D Mapping Systems for Emergency Services" IEEE ICRA Workshop on Field Robotics 2024







### **Dual LiDAR-Inertial System**



RM

Royal Military Academy

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Depth-Visual-Inertial (DVI) Mapping System**

#### **Concept:**

- Combining all sensors of a DVI sensor mounted on helmet
- In-house developed sensor fusion scheme

#### Advantages:

- Lightweight (sensor < 500g, computer + battery: 2kg), hands-free
- Low-power (USB powered)

#### Drawbacks:

- Active system: Time-of-Flight camera's IR pulses can be picked up (although much less than LiDAR)
- Limited range (<10m)

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

C. Hamesse, M. Vlaminck, H. Luong and R. Haelterman "Depth-Visual-Inertial (DVI) Mapping System for Robust Indoor 3D Reconstruction" IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters 2024, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2024.3487496.

![](_page_15_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_14.jpeg)

### **Depth-Visual-Inertial Mapping System**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

Royal Military Academy

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

Faren Left-Citiki Mitals, Mobile-Citiki Hove X/Y, Right-Citik/Messee Wheelt, Etam, 390% Averagebook

### **Multi-Spectral Inspection UGV**

#### **Concept:**

- UGV platform: 360° LiDAR + multi-camera visual-inertial sensor for robot situational awareness
- Robotic arm: RGB + SWIR + thermal LWIR cameras for advanced robustness and mine detection

#### Advantages:

- Rapidly deployed system
- Robust 3D perception, extended with the arm sensors
- **Disadvantages:** 
  - UGV has limited motion and terrain traversability capacity

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Multi-Spectral Inspection UGV**

Platform sensors: Robust forest mapping

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Multi-Spectral Inspection UGV**

Arm sensors:

Mine detection

Image to be inserted

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Way Ahead: 3D Mapping with Passive Sensors

Camera-based perception allows to map in a covert manner, unlocking many military use cases.

- Cameras are cheap, small and consume very little energy
- But currently, LiDAR mapping is significantly more accurate and dense
- There is a lot of **ongoing research** on visual-inertial mapping, very important potential

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

Sample sult from DM-VIO

Sevensense Core Research sensor

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Conclusion

Throughout the years, we have developed numerous prototype SLAM systems for various scenarios. Our main observations are:

- Sensor miniaturization is unlocking many, many SLAM use cases
- In most military scenarios, SLAM still requires extensive research efforts
  - There is a big future for visual-inertial (passive) SLAM

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Thank you for your interest!

Get in touch: charles.hamesse@mil.be

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Back-up slides**

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Ultra Wideband (UWB) Localization System**

#### Concept:

- Use a LiDAR-inertial SLAM system to set up a UWB localization system
- After that, only UWB tags are needed for real-time positioning (cheap, lightweight, low-power)

#### Advantages:

- UWB tags are cheap, lightweight and consume extremely low power
- Can localize many agents / systems with new UWB tags

**Disadvantages:** 

More complex setup

C. Hamesse, R. Vleugels, M. Vlaminck, H. Luong and R. Haelterman, "Fast and Cost-Effective UWB Anchor Position Calibration Using a Portable SLAM System" IEEE Sensors Journal, 2024, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2024.3419261

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Ultra Wideband (UWB) Localization System**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Test warehouse from the UWB system

Royal Military Academ

Trajectory estimated

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### SLAM systems will incrementally output:

- Trajectory: set of (timestamped) position and orientation data

Mesh

- Map: can be the final output or a means to an end

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

Elevation (or 2.5D)

Point cloud

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Towards Ultra-Portable Mapping Systems**

Recently, miniaturization of cameras and LiDAR sensors has enabled the development of wearable 3D mapping systems for emergency responders.

- Solid-state LiDARs weigh less than 300g, consume less than 10W
- Cameras can be smaller than a die

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

https://www.livoxtech.com/mid-360

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

https://www.ximea.com/en/products/subminiature-cameras

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Ultra-Portable Mapping Systems**

State-of-the-art mapping algorithms work in real-time or "faster". The key components are:

- Sliding window-based optimization for sensor fusion
- Appropriate 3D data structures for fast 3D point association and scan-to-map alignment
- Parallelism

All of the experiments shown in the rest of this presentation show results obtained in realtime or faster, using computers such as Intel NUCs (no GPU):

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Towards Ultra-Portable 3D Mapping Systems**

Our research is focused on integrating this latest hardware in portable systems:

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Sample Results

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Sample Results**

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Sample Results

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Situational awareness
- Mission planning
- Line of sight calculation
- IED damage simulation
- Change detection
- ....Many others!

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Situational awareness
- Mission planning
- Line of sight calculation
- IED damage simulation
- Change detection
- ....Many others!

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Situational awareness
- Mission planning
- Line of sight calculation
- IED damage simulation
- Change detection
- ....Many others!

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Situational awareness
- Mission planning
- Line of sight calculation
- IED damage simulation
- Change detection
- ....Many others!

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Situational awareness
- Mission planning
- Line of sight calculation
- IED damage simulation
- Change detection
- ....Many others!

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Situational awareness
- Mission planning
- Line of sight calculation
- IED damage simulation
- Change detection
- ....Many others!

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Principles of 3D Mapping**

#### The pose estimation and mapping "problems" are intertwined:

- To build a map from your observations, you need to anchor these observations in some reference frame linked to your position and vice-versa.
- Very often, the 3D mapping problem is solved as:
  - 1. Pose (trajectory estimation)
  - 2. Reprojection of observed data in the global map

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)