

Nano Learning Application Checklist

This checklist has been created as an aid for all applicants to use when preparing/developing a course for review and is based on the 2024 Statement on Standards. The checklist contains the main components used by the Registry team when considering a Nano Learning application. Additionally, helpful tips and hyperlinks are provided where applicable so that you can easily utilize all templates and resources provided on our website. Using this checklist prior to course submission will help ensure your program's compliance with the Standards.



prof	rning activities include one of the acceptable subject areas to maintain or enhance the essional competency of a CPA. Standards No. 4, No. 12, and No. 23 and Field of Study sification		
	*For more information about the fields of study category, follow this <u>direct link</u> to the Registry website or go directly to the document <u>here.</u>		
	The field of study is appropriate for the content of the course materials.		
	elop programs specifically for instructional use. Standards for CPE Program Development, edard No. 10-04.		
	The program guides the learner through the program of learning.		
	The program was designed specifically for instructional use.		
	Course materials are cohesive and reflect a well-planned design from start to finish.		
	The course has the following required components: Course Instructions: These should clearly outline what is required to earn CPE credit (completing the module and qualified assessment with a 100%), as well as how to navigate through the course itself. Learning Objectives: There must be at least one measurable learning objective included in the course material.		
exp o	elop and execute activities in a manner consistent with the prerequisite education, erience, and/or advance preparation of participants. Standards for CPE Program elopment, Standard No. 2 and 3.		
	The level of the course is identified. The course level should be identified as one of the following: Basic Intermediate Advanced Overview Update		



4.	Clearly defines learning objectives and demonstrates a clear plan of study with materials that are specifically developed for instructional use in a self-study environment. Standards for CPE Program Development, Standard No. 2
	Learning objectives are measurable and articulate the professional competence that can be achieved by participants. (If unsure, see the "Note on Learning Objectives," below.)
	Learning objectives are relevant for the learning activity.
	The program is developed in a manner consistent with the learning objectives.

A Note on Learning Objectives

Learning Objectives should clearly indicate the abilities that the learner will be able to exhibit upon completion of the course. If the action cannot be measured using the chosen assessment format (most often multiple-choice) the objective language should be edited. For example, a learning objective such as <code>Explain/Describe</code> how to use the ABC ranking method to group clients based on their profitability and importance to the firm is difficult to assess in a multiple-choice format. "Explain/Describe," would indicate an outcome that participants would be able to present information in either speech or in writing. While the learning activities may include exercises that allow the participant to practice these activities, a multiple-choice formatted qualified assessment cannot accurately measure this achievement. For the CPE sponsor to be able to assess whether the participant successfully achieved this type of outcome, essay questions or activities would need to be included in the qualified assessment.

Below is an example that illustrates the difference between poorly defined objectives and learning objectives that clearly articulate the knowledge, skills and abilities to be achieved. Some examples of poorly defined objectives:

- Be familiar with the basic levels in an organization
- Know the types of strategic plans developed at each level
- Understand the organizational strategic planning process
- Learn the four steps in the organization strategic planning process

These objectives do not indicate observable behaviors which makes assessment of their mastery impossible. To confirm mastery, what is meant by "know," "understand," and "learn," should be clearly defined in measurable terms.

The following performance objectives are good examples of the use of observable behaviors. *After completing this course, you will be able to:*

- Differentiate between the three basic levels in an organization
- Identify the six types of strategic plans
- Recognize the strategic plan with the appropriate organization level
- Define the four steps in the organization strategic planning process

Bloom's Taxonomy and following the SMART format for goal writing can be helpful points of reference when deciding on the action verb needed for each learning objective. Be sure that the chosen verbs within the learning objectives can be measured using the chosen qualified assessment format.



5.		uire program sponsor to be qualified in the subject matter. Standards for CPE Program elopment, Standard No. 4-01.
	Deve	mopritent, Standard No. 4-01.
		The course author/developer is qualified in the subject matter.
		The instructor/author/developer is qualified in self-study instructional strategies. *This is proven by expertise demonstrated through practical experience or education. The bio should include an explanation of applicable experience that the individual has with instructing/educating other individuals.
		mistracting/caacating other marriadals.
6.	desi	of activities, materials, and delivery systems that are current, technically accurate, effectively gned, and free of grammatical errors. Standards for CPE Program Development, Standard 10-03.
		For courses which are technical fields of study (tax, accounting, auditing), the content, including review and qualified assessment questions, is technically accurate.
		The course materials are grammatically correct.
		The applicant is the provider of the Certificate of Completion.
		The course reviewer is independent of the course development team.
		For courses with technical fields of study (Accounting, Auditing, or Taxes), the course reviewer or author/developer is a CPA, tax attorney, or IRS Enrolled Agent.
		The course is reviewed by a subject matter expert every 1-2 years.
		The course publication/revision/review date is included in the course material.
		The course was created specifically by/for the applicant.
		□ Yes
		□ No
		If no, was the course purchased from a Registry approved Sponsor?
		☐ Yes If the content is purchased from a sponsor registered with NASBA on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors, then the CPE program sponsor that issues the certificate of completion under its name must maintain the author/developer and content reviewer documentation from that sponsor to satisfy the content development requirements of the Standards. The documentation should be maintained as prescribed in Standard No. 24.



□ No If the content is purchased from an entity not registered with NASBA on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors, then the CPE program sponsor must independently review the purchased content to ensure compliance with the Standards. If the CPE program sponsor does not have the subject matter expertise on staff, then the CPE program sponsor must contract with a content reviewer to conduct the review. The CPE program sponsor must maintain the appropriate documentation regarding the credentials and experience of both the course author/developer(s) and content reviewer(s) as prescribed in Standard No. 24.
ogram provides evidence of satisfactory completion of each program. Standards for CPE ogram Development, Standard No. 10.
The qualified assessment contains no more and no less than 2 questions.
There are no true/false questions within the qualified assessment. *It is not permissible for there to be any true/false questions within the qualified assessment.
Questions are not taken word for word from the course material.
Questions focus on specific and important information from the course rather than general or common knowledge.
The qualified assessment confirms that the learning objective(s) has been achieved.
Qualified assessment questions follow established educational guidelines:
Plausible Distractors *What does this mean? Incorrect answer choices are plausible when they are believable as possible answers to the question. When the incorrect answer choices do not match in plausibility with the correct answer, this gives the correct choice away to the learner and inhibits the ability to reason through the question independently. When creating the course questions, be sure that all distractors are plausible.
☐ Incomplete Statements in the Stem

*What does this mean?



Sometimes fill in the blank questions can contain leading verbiage to point the learner towards the correct answer. If these types of questions are used within the course, be sure that they do not contain pointed phrasing or clues that would give away the correct answer.
☐ Length or Precision of Correct Answer
*What does this mean? When the correct answer differs noticeably in length from all the incorrect answer choices, this can point to the correct answer. When creating the course questions, check to be sure that answer choices are all similar lengths.
☐ Words or Phrases Do Not Contain Clues to the Correct Answer
*What does this mean? Questions and answer choices should be written so that there are no clue words and phrases pointing to the correct answer. For example: A course has a question about identifying a type of storage option in a program. If the correct answer choice is the only one to use the key word "storage," it is likely the correct response. This clue points the learner to the correct answer and inhibits the ability to independently reason through the question. However, if all answer choices contain the key word, "storage," this would be an appropriate use of the term as it would not be a clue towards the correct choice.
☐ Answer choices are written to avoid "All of the above," options.
*Why should I avoid using this option? Since participants merely need to recognize two correct options to get the correct answer, best practices suggest that the option "All of the above" should be avoided.
☐ Appropriate number of distractors
*What does this mean? Questions should be written so that there are an appropriate number of distractors. Best practices suggest that there should be at least 3-4 answer choice options per question on multiple-choice assessments.
Participants are required to pass the qualified assessment with a minimum of 100% and the requirement is clearly indicated to the participants.



ng feedback for the qualified assessment, select one of the options below:
Feedback is not provided on the qualified assessment.
Feedback is provided on the qualified assessment. If feedback is provided, one of the following pathways must be chosen.
\Box If feedback is provided, there is a test bank large enough to minimize the overlap of test questions.
☐ If feedback is provided and there is not a test bank large enough to minimize the overlap of test questions, the feedback is only given if the participant passes the qualified assessment first.
Participants are required to retake the course if they do not achieve a 100% on the qualified assessment.
Participants are required to complete the qualified assessment within one year of the date of purchase and the requirement is clearly indicated to participants.
lloy appropriate instructional methods. Standards for CPE Program Measurement, Standard 15, 10-04
15, 10-04



9. Grant CPE credits based on duration of the program plus the qualified assessment. One-fifth credit is the maximum credit to be awarded for a single Nano Learning program. Standards for CPE Program Measurement, Standard No. 16, 17, 18.

*Visit the Registry <u>website</u> for Nano Learning Templates and more information about the Word Count Formula Form (click the link highlighted in yellow as shown in the screenshot below).

NANO LEARNING

- Internal Promotional Material
- External Promotional Material
- Certificate of Completion
- Evaluation Form (Electronic Distribution)
- Program List
- Administrative Policies
- Word Count Formula

*For more information about the word count formula, follow this <u>direct link</u> to the Registry website and click on the drop down titled, "Word Count Formula: Background."

The Word Count Formula methodology was used in the calculation of CPE credits:
☐ CPE credits are based on the prescribed word count formula
[(# of words/180) + actual audio/video duration time + (# of questions * 1.85)]/50 = CPE credit
☐ Supplemental material has been excluded from the word count. Information considered supplemental and should therefore not be counted in the word count includes: • course introduction
instructions for the participant
author/course developer biographies
table of contents
pre-program assessments
appendixes containing supplementary reference materials.
☐ The credits were rounded down to the nearest one-fifth, one-half, or whole credit.



Administrative Checklist

1. ☐ The statement of administrative policies includes the following elements: *Note that the administrative policies should be applicable to all courses and not solely specific to the course submitted for review.	
	he Registry <u>website</u> for Nano Learning Templates or go directly to page 1 of the following link ner details concerning these guidelines: <u>Administrative Policies</u>
	Record Retention Policy (Stds. 2.3 & 24)
	Refund Policy
	*Even if your course does not require a fee, there should still be a statement stating so in the administrative policies. This cannot just be, "There are no refunds."
	Program Cancellation Policy *Though Nano Learning courses are not live, there must still be a statement explaining how the participant would be contacted in the event that a course is no longer available.
	Complaint Resolution Policy
	Course Update Policy (Std. 4)
2. The program content development includes the following elements: Standards for CPE Program Measurement, Standard No. 2-5 *Note that the program content development should be applicable to all courses and not solely specific to the course submitted for review.	
	he Registry website for Nano Learning Templates or go directly to page 2 of the following link
for furth	ner details concerning these guidelines: Content Development Policies Guidance
	The content/level equates to the background of participants.
	Content & delivery systems are current, effectively designed, and accurate.
	Content is developed by subject matter expert and reviewed by qualified persons outside the development team.
	Content is based on relevant learning objectives and outcomes.



3. ☐ The course/program list includes the following components:

*The course/program list should include all programs which you plan to offer in the year following your Nano Learning application approval. The CPE credit range represented on the course/program list should match the chosen range selected with your application fee. Following your approval, if you decide to offer more courses than those listed in the course/program list, contact your NASBA Registry account manager in order to seek compliance with this adjustment.

*Visit the Registry <u>website</u> for Nano Learning Templates to locate the program list template which can be used to enter your program offerings (click the link highlighted in yellow as shown in the screenshot below).

NANO LEARNING Internal Promotional Material External Promotional Material Certificate of Completion Evaluation Form (Electronic Distribution) Program List

Administrative Policies

Course Title
Delivery Method
CPE Credits
Field of Study *For an extensive breakdown of Fields of Study, visit our website or go to this direct link:
Fields of Study Document



4. ☐ Promotional materials contain the following components:

*Promotional materials should be an example of what would be given to a prospective learner for the course submitted for review.

Standards for CPE Program Measurement, Standard No. 12

assumed knowledge in another subject.

*Visit the Registry <u>website</u> for Nano Learning Templates for both Internal and External Promotional material, or go directly to the following links for guidelines and examples: <u>Internal</u> or <u>External</u> Promotional Materials

NANO LEARNING

- Internal Promotional Material
- External Promotional Material

INTERNAL Audience:
☐ Learning Objectives
☐ Type of delivery method
*This must say, "Nano Learning," in its entirety.
☐ Prerequisites (or none)
☐ Advance preparation (or none)
☐ Recommended CPE credit
☐ Field of study
☐ Program description (for optional internal course)
☐ Program/Knowledge level (for optional internal course):
*_A Note about Knowledge Level
In general, intermediate, advanced, and update courses are building upon previous
knowledge and information. The previous education or experience in which these courses
build upon would be the course prerequisites and should be stated clearly for participants.
Typically, basic and overview courses do not assume previous knowledge of the subject and
should develop all concepts fully. Although these courses will not typically have prerequisite
knowledge or experience in the subject matter, these levels may have prerequisites if there is



□ Pasia
Basic
☐ Intermediate
☐ Advanced
☐ Overview
☐ Update
☐ EXTERNAL Audience:
☐ Learning Objectives
☐ Program description
☐ Prerequisites (or none)
☐ Advance prep (or none)
☐ Type of delivery method
*This must say, "Nano Learning," in its entirety.
☐ Recommended CPE credit
☐ Field of study
☐ Program Registration Requirements
*This can be a link to the registration page if desired. If this method is chosen, be sure that
the link works properly.
*If the course does not require the learner to register, there should be instructions given on
how the learner can access the course.
☐ Refund Policy
☐ Complaint Resolution Policy
☐ National Registry Language
This statement must be present in its entirety and without any alteration: "(Sponsor name here) is registered with the National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA) as a sponsor of continuing professional education on the National Registry of CPE Sponsors. State boards of accountancy have final authority on the acceptance of individual courses for CPE credit. Complaints regarding registered sponsors may be submitted to the National Registry of CPE Sponsors through its website:
www.nasbaregistry.org"
☐ Program/Knowledge level of the course:
* A Note about Knowledge Level
In general, intermediate, advanced, and update courses are building upon previous
knowledge and information. The previous education or experience in which these courses
build upon would be the course prerequisites and should be stated clearly for participants.
Typically, basic and overview courses do not assume previous knowledge of the subject and
should develop all concepts fully. Although these courses will not typically have prerequisite



	knowledge or experience in the subject matter, these levels may have prerequisites if there is
	assumed knowledge in another subject. Basic
	☐ Intermediate
	Advanced
	Overview
	□ Update
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5. □ Th	e Evaluation Form contains the following components with wording as close as possible or
	m to the following:
Standaı	ds for CPE Program Measurement, Standard No. 14
des et la se	
	ne Registry <u>website</u> for Nano Learning Templates or go directly to the following link for ness and an example: Evaluation Form
guideili	ies and an example. Evaluation Form
	NANO LEARNING
	Internal Promotional Material
	External Promotional Material
	Certificate of Completion
	Evaluation Form (Electronic Distribution)
	■ Program List
	Administrative Policies
	The stated learning objectives were met
	The stated prerequisite requirements were appropriate and sufficient.
	The program materials, including the qualified assessment, if any, were relevant & contributed to the achievement of the learning objectives.
	The time allotted to the learning activity was appropriate.



6. □ The Certificate of Completion contains the following components:

*The certificate should be an example of what the learner will receive after completing the specific course in review.

Standards for CPE Program Measurement, Standard 23

*Visit the Registry <u>website</u> for Nano Learning Templates or go directly to the following link for guidelines and an example: <u>Certificate of Completion</u>

NANO LEARNING Internal Promotional Material External Promotional Material Certificate of Completion Evaluation Form (Electronic Distribution) Program List Administrative Policies

*The sponsor name should match exactly the name given on the application.
Participant's name
Program title
*Make sure the program title matches that of the course in review.
Program Field of Study
*If the course is being classified in more than one field of study, this must be broken down to show the number of CPE credits being awarded in each field of study. The total CPE credits given for the course should equal the sum of this breakdown.
For example: Taxes: 1 CPE credit Specialized Knowledge: 1 CPE credit Total: 2 CPE credits
Date Offered
Delivery Method: This should state that the Delivery Method is Nano Learning.
CPE Credit *This must be an exact amount of CPE credits and not a range. It must also match the CPE credit methodology submitted. Example of Noncompliant CPE Credit: up to 7 CPE credits



	Example of Compliant CPE Credit: 7 CPE credits
	Registry ID #
	*If this is an initial application and you are not a current sponsor with a Registry ID, you can just place this title on the certificate to mark where it will go upon and following your approval.
	Registry Time Statement
	* This statement must be present in its entirety and without any alteration: "In accordance with the Standards of the National Registry of CPE Sponsors, CPE credits have been granted on a 50-minute hour."
7. The Bio/Resume of Instructors/Author & Content Reviewer *In addition to typical information included in the bios, applicants should also provide specific information regarding the following guidelines: Standards for CPE Program Measurement, Standards 5 & 24	
	The instructor/author/developer is a CPA (required for Fields of Study in accounting or auditing).
	The instructor/author/developer or reviewer is a CPA (for the Field of Study of Taxes, this can also be an enrolled tax agent or tax attorney).
	The instructor/author/developer is qualified in the subject matter.
	The instructor/author/developer is qualified in self-study instructional strategies. *This is proven by expertise demonstrated through practical experience or education. The bio should include an explanation of applicable experience that the individual has with instructing/educating other individuals.
	The content reviewer is independent of the course development team.
	The content reviewer is qualified in the subject matter.