

Carbon Storage and Management

3-4 SEPTEMBER 2024 | KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA





Perfororation Techniques for Injectivity Enhancement for CCS Projects

Khong Chee Kin

SLB







Agenda

- Perforation Damage
- Perforation Tunnel Clean up
- Static and Dynamic Underbalance
- Propellant



Crushed Zones in Perforation Tunnels







Sequence of Perforating Events and Borehole Dynamic



• 0 – 15 microsecond – birth of jet

- 15 250 microsecond creation of cavity in rock
- 3 15 millisecond initial response of wellbore fluid
- 10 100 millisecond perforation damage removal
 - Dynamic Underbalance
- > 50 millisecond response of reservoir

| Initial over balance | 25330 - 20330 - 15330 - | → 10 - 10 |)0 msec | – dama | ge removal |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|------------|
| possible - to get DUB when | 08 (4) and UB -1 (ns() | > 50 ms | ec – res | | esponse |
| borehole is balanced or slightly | -1500 -2000 | | Time | | 94596) |
| over balanced | | | | | |





Dynamic Underbalance Perforation (DUB)



(SPE 97363)

- Obtains clean perforations by utilizing underbalance period to remove perforating debris & crushed formation.
- Combines perforating system design and wellbore conditions to control downhole pressure transients at the time of perforating.



Berea Sandstone Micrographs from perforated core thin sections Note clean sand grains in the DUB case (blue = perforation tunnel)



Conventional vs. Dynamic Underbalance



- <u>Conventional Underbalance (this example):</u>
- BHP before perf. = 1000 psi UB
- Instantaneous UB = No (< 0.1 sec)</p>
- Max UB < 500 psi (variable, borehole dependent)
- Kc/K = 0.047 -> PR < 70%</p>

- Dynamic Underbalance (this example):
- BHP before perf. = 1500 psi OB (can be slightly OB)
- Instantaneous UB = 2500 psi
- Max UB = 2500 psi (for this case) (Max UB is reservoir pressure dependent)
- Kc/K = 1 -> PR = 100%



• https://www.slb.com/completions/well-completions/perforating/perforating-gunsystems/hollow-carrier/pure-clean-perforations-system



PURE vs. Non-PURE Gas and Liquid Core Test





Non-PURE

Pore fluid: GAS (Dry N2)

PURE



Non-PURE Pore fluid: LIQUID (brine)

 https://www.slb.com/completions/well-completions/perforating/perforating-gunsystems/hollow-carrier/pure-clean-perforations-system

P3 – PURE post-perforating (SPE -144080-MS)



- P3 is an adaptation of PURE that does not perforate the casing.
- Implosion chamber with atmospheric air at the surface is placed across a perforated interval in the well to be treated to create Dynamic underbalance.
- Dynamic underbalance is the term given to a rapid and large (violent) drop of pressure in the well bore when the implosion chamber is activated.
- The pressure drop is usually short lived 15 50 ms
- Any "loose" material in the perforation tunnels (or near wellbore) is sucked into the wellbore and some into the P3 chamber.







How Much Static Underbalance is Required?







Propellant Fracturing Physics







Propellant Surface Burn









Comparison of three fracturing processes









| | Explosion | Propellant gas | Hydraulic | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Peak pressure (psi) | 10 ⁶ – 10 ⁷ | 10 ³ - 10 ⁴ | 10 ³ | |
| Pressure rise time (sec) | 10 ⁻⁷ - 10 ⁻⁵ | 10 ⁻⁴ - 10 ⁻² | 10 ¹ - 10 ² | |
| Pulse Duration (sec) | 10 ⁻⁶ - 10 ⁻⁵ | 10 ⁻² - 10 ⁻⁰ | 10 ³ - 10 ⁴ | |
| Number of fractures | Various | 3-10 | 1 | |
| Fracture length (ft) | < 3 | < 10 | 10 ¹ - 10 ² | |
| Fracture pattern | Tiny damaged zone | Multiple radial | Single by-wing | |
| comments | Wellbore damage & crush zone | | Direction dominated by in-situ stresses | |



Propellant Performed Past Research



Propellant Lab Stimulation (90 Phased Perforated Core)

Mineback (Sandia Lab)



Time (sec)



Figure 3 – Propellant stimulation with 90° perforation phasing (Laboratory Scale).





Typical fracture pattern from cased hole with 90° phasing in a fractured reservoir

Sandia mine back



Propellant Technology







Propellant Perforation Enhancement at Well BRGA-3 in Malaysia



Well-B 77 degree deviated well 2 7/8 in HSD Coiled Tubing Conveyed Perforation

- Low Perm B Sand 201 ft PJN2906 & PJO2906 at 6 spf with 118 ft (102.6 kg) MPSleeve 73 Propellant
- Lower B Formation X463-X360 ftmd
- Upper B Formation X360-X464 ftmd
- Perforation Gun + Propellant Sleeve Well-B Well Test Compared to Cased Perforated Completion SPAN Model indicate Infinite Conductivity Fractures created









Production profile for tested flowrate – high perf skin (blue line) Substantial red shading (right most track) of sleeve propellant above clean perf Infinite conductivity higher rate than a low skin perforation skin (red line)



Propellant Perforation Enhancement at Well BRGA-2 in Malaysia



80 degree deviated well 2 7/8 in HSD Coiled Tubing Conveyed Perforation

- Low Perm AL Zone 60 ft PJN2906 & PJO2906 at 6 spf with 13 ft (11.4 kg) MPSleeve 73 Propellant
- Low Perm AU Zone 120 ft PJN2906 & PJO2906 at 6 spf with 31 ft (27 kg) MPSleeve 73 Propellant
- Perforation Gun + Propellant Sleeve Well-A Well Test Compared to Cased Perforated Completion SPAN Model indicate enhancement by Finite Conductivity Fractures created









Production profile for tested flowrate – high perf skin (blue line) Minor red shading (right most track) of sleeve propellant above clean perf <u>Finite conductivity similar rate to low skin perforation skin (red line)</u>



Skin Reconciliation to evaluate various skin effects







Fracture Half Length by Propellant Evaluation based on Measured Production Test Rate



| Well | Reservoir | Average | Karakas & | Productivity | Skin | Karakas |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Name | | Measured | Tariq | Ratio | Propellant | & Tariq |
| | | Test Rate | Modeled | From | _ | Perf Skin |
| | | (MMscfd) | Rate | Equation 1 | | from |
| | | | (MMscfd) | | | Table 5 |
| BRGA-3 | 0_20 | 4.0 | 1.685 | 2.37 | -5.23 | 3.53 |
| BRGD-2 | 0_40 | 1.2 | 0.715 | 1.68 | -3.16 | 3.61 |

Stotal = Spropellant + Sperf + Sdev + Snondarcy

$$Stotal = -\ln\left(\frac{Rwa}{Rw}\right)$$

Fracture half
$$- length = Rwa = Rw * e^{(-1+Stotal)}$$

*Rw=4.25 in

| Well | Reservoir | Skin | Karakas | Karakas | Karakas | Skin | Approx |
|--------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|----------|
| Name | | Propellant | & Tariq | & Tariq | & Tariq | Tota1 | Fracture |
| | | from | Perf Skin | Deviatio | Non- | | Half- |
| | | Table 6 | from | n Skin | Darcy | | Length |
| | | | Table 5 | | Skin | | (ft) |
| BRGA-3 | 0_20 | -5.23 | 3.53 | -2.0 | 0.7 | -3.00 | 7.1 |
| BRGD-2 | 0_40 | -3.16 | 3.61 | -2.9 | 1.1 | -1.35 | 1.4 |





Acknowledgements / Thank You / Questions

